The ambit of congenital malformations in the Kachchh district- a hospital-based study
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Background and Aim: Quantifying birth defects in a population is felt as a need as it helps inappropriate allocation of the health budget to tackle and reduce perinatal, neonatal, and infant mortality rates. Hence a study on congenital anomalies was undertaken in the region of Kachchh district. Material and Methods: Present cross-sectional study was performed on 10 patients diagnosed with having congenital malformed fetuses at tertiary care center- G.K. General Hospital, Bhuj, Kachchh district, Gujarat, India over three months - January, February, March 2019. Results: Mean age of the study participants were 22.3 years, most (70%) of the study participants were primigravida. Hydrocephalus was the most common birth defect among study participants. Eighty percentages (80%) of study participants had gross anomalies. Sixty percentages (60%) of participants had the outcome of termination. Conclusion: Congenital anomalies were in babies to mothers between 20-30 years of age. Once an anomaly is detected, various management options are to be discussed with the patients in consultation with a neonatologist, pediatric surgeon, and neurosurgeon when necessary.
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Introduction

Congenital anomalies are defined as structural and functional abnormalities including metabolic disorders present at birth. Several known factors are associated such as maternal infection like TORCH, genetic factors, drugs, maternal age, and consanguinity. Screening in the late first (11-13 weeks) and second trimester (20-24 weeks) is an important tool to reduce the prevalence. Congenital anomalies represent defects in morphogenesis during early fetal life.

Congenital anomalies occur all over the world with similar frequency. According to the WHO document of 1972, the term congenital malformations should be confined to structural defects at birth [1]. Congenital anomalies account for about 8-15% of perinatal deaths and 13-16% of neonatal deaths in India [2,3].

Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) the USA, had reported an incidence of about 3% of all live births in the USA during 2004-2006 [4]. It is the most important cause of under-five mortality in developing nations like India and accounts for about 61 to 69.9/1000 of all live births This high prevalence warrants the need to take immediate steps to tackle the problem on a war footing, more so when 70% of these defects are preventable [5].

India ranks second in the world concerning the reported occurrence of congenital anomalies in neonates and children. This fact highlights the urgency and importance of documenting all congenital malformations occurring in neonates born in a hospital setting, to focus and develop appropriate preventive and remedial strategies. Quantifying birth defects in a population is a felt need as it helps inappropriate allocation of the health budget to tackle and reduce perinatal, neonatal, and infant mortality rates. Hence a study on congenital anomalies was undertaken. Evaluation is a continuous process that's why a deep insight into the evolution of congenital anomalies and dysmorphology is needed.

Material and Methods

A present cross-sectional study was performed on 10 patients diagnosed with having congenital malformed fetuses at tertiary care center- G.K. General Hospital, Bhuj, Kachchh district, Gujarat, India over three months – January, February, March 2019. Approval was taken from the Institutional Human Ethics Committee before the commencement of the study. Written expressed consent was taken from the parents. The babies were thoroughly evaluated to identify the nature, severity of structural congenital malformations and to classify them as single or multiple malformation syndrome or associations. Appropriate investigations and treatment were provided to neonates who required them.

Inclusion Criteria

01. Patients diagnosed with congenital malformed fetus irrespective of gestational age and time of presentation (i.e. antenatal, during labor, or post part Partum period)

02. All babies with congenital malformations diagnosed before, at, and after birth i.e. In-utero, Intra Partum and Post-Partum.

Exclusion criteria

01. All intrinsic anomalies e.g of the cardio-vascular system are excluded which are not grossly apparent.

Invasive Procedure

01. MTP (Medical Termination of pregnancy)

02. Induction of labor

03. Normal Vaginal delivery with or without episiotomy

04. Cesarean section

Statistical analysis

The data was coded and entered into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. The analysis was done using SPSS version 15 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) Windows software program. The variables were assessed for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Descriptive statistics were calculated.

Results

CNS MALFORMATIONS
Fig-1: Omphalocoele, imperforate anus, cleft lip, cleft palate detected at 37 weeks of gestation.

Fig-2: Anencephaly at 19.3 weeks of gestation.

Fig-3: Spina bifida with Arnold Chiari malformation type 2.

Fig-4: Hydrocephalus with intra uterine fetal death presenting in obstructed labour at 36 weeks of gestation.

Fig-5: Cleft lip, cleft palate with congenital ichthyosis at 37 weeks of gestation.
Table 1: Age-wise distribution of study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Maximum Age</th>
<th>Minimum Age</th>
<th>Mean Age</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 describes the Age-wise distribution of study participants.
- The mean age calculated is 22.3 years of presentation with the maximum age being 26 years and the minimum age being 20 years.

Table 2: Distribution of study participants according to the Gravida status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gravida</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primi</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 illustrates the distribution of study participants according to the Gravida status.
- Most of the patients – 70% are primigravida patients, 20% second gravid, and 10% third gravid.

Table 3: Distribution of study participants according to the Parity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the distribution of study participants according to the Parity.
- Most of the patients – 80% were primipara, while 20% had been the second para.

Table 4: Distribution of study participants according to time of detection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of detection</th>
<th>Maximum time (weeks)</th>
<th>Minimum time (weeks)</th>
<th>Mean time (week)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Distribution of study participants according to time of detection.
- The mean time of detection calculated is 22.3 years of presentation with maximum time being 37 weeks and minimum time being 19.3 weeks.

Table 5: Distribution of study participants according to the presence of gross anomalies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross anomalies</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 describes the distribution of study participants according to the presence of gross anomalies.
- Most of the participants 80% had gross anomalies while 20% did not have gross anomalies.

Table 6: Distribution of study participants according to consanguineous marriage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consanguineous marriage</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 explains the distribution of study participants according to consanguineous marriage.
- Most of the participants (60%) had Consanguineous marriage while 40% did not have a consanguineous marriage.

Table 7: Distribution of study participants according to anomaly scan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly scan</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 explains the distribution of Congenital anomalies of the study participants.
- 30% of participants had Hydrocephalous, 10% had Anencephaly, while 10% of Hydrocephalus with polycystic kidney, 10% Arnold Chiari 2 malformation with spina bifida with meningocele, 10% Encephaly, 10% cleft lip with cleft palate with omphalocele with the imperforated anus, 10% cleft lip cleft palate with congenital ichthyosis, 10% exencephaly with cleft lip cleft palate respectively.
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Table 7 shows the distribution of study participants according to the anomaly scan.

Most of the participants 90% had an anomaly scan while 10% did not have an anomaly scan.

![Table 7: Distribution of study participants according to the anomaly scan.](image)

**Fig-7: distribution of study participants according to the outcome.**

Figure 8 describes the distribution of study participants according to the outcome.

- Fifty percentages of participants had terminated pregnancy with PTVD SB, while 10% had second trimester MTP, 10% of neonates lived, 10% died, 10% died after three days of NICU care and 10% had IUFD.

**Table 8: Distribution of study participants according to the termination of pregnancy.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Termination</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spontaneous labor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obstructed labor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 shows the distribution of study participants according to the Termination of Pregnancy.

- Most of the participants 70% had TIP while 20% had spontaneous labor and 10% had obstructed labor.

**Discussion**

Teratology and Dysmorphology are terminologies used to describe the various embryological, structural, functional, or bio-metabolic disorders in a developing fetus giving rise to congenital malformations [6]. Today, “birth defects” have emerged as a major health concern globally, more so in developed countries where they contribute significantly to neonatal and early childhood mortality.

These malformations account for 3% of “major” structural defects, and 15% of “minor” anomalies [6].

The present cross-sectional study was performed on 10 patients diagnosed with having congenital malformed fetuses at tertiary care center- G.K. General Hospital, Bhuj, Gujarat, India over three months – January, February, and March 2019.

The mean age calculated is 22.3 years of presentation with the maximum age being 26 years and the minimum age being 20 years. Similar results are obtained by Dr. Taksande A et al and Arjun Singh, et al [2,7]. Though most of the studies stated that parity of the mother does not seem to influence the incidence of congenital anomalies a higher percentage of congenital anomalies were seen in the birth order of 1 in the present study this is similar to other studies like Swain et al, R. Kulsherestha et al, Chathurvedi et al, and Grover N. et al [8-11].

Thirty percent of participants were hydrocephalous, 10% were of encephaly, while 10% of Hydrocephalus with polycystic kidney, 10 % Arnold Chiari 2 malformation with spina bifida with meningocele, 10% Enencephaly, 10% cleft lip with cleft palate with omphalocele with the imperforated anus, 10% cleft lip cleft palate with congenital ichthyosis, 10 % exencephaly with cleft lip cleft palate respectively.

This type of frequency was also reported by Swain et al, Gupth S et al, Kalaiselvan G et al and Pandya M et al [8,12,13,14]. Fifty percentages of participants had terminated pregnancy with PTVD SB, while 10% had second trimester MTP, 10% of neonates lived, 10% died, 10% died after three days and 10% had IUFD.

Social awareness about consanguinity and if unavoidable, genetic counseling is important to measure what can be done to reduce the consanguinity.

**Conclusion**

Congenital anomalies were in babies to mothers between 20-30 years of age. Once an anomaly is detected, various management options are to be discussed with the patients in consultation with a neonatologist, pediatric surgeon, and neurosurgeon when necessary. If parents are willing to continue the pregnancy with compatible congenital anomalies in the baby then pregnancy may be continued.
But if the congenital anomaly is incompatible with life then pregnancy should be terminated.

What does the study add to the existing knowledge?

The study of malformations should include live and stillborn babies to get a realistic picture of the incidence of malformations. The autopsy should be included in the routine investigation of birth defects as a large proportion of defects is found at autopsy. A careful screening and premarital counseling / Pre-conceptional counseling for possible congenital malformation may be undertaken. Preventive genetics can be practiced e.g. Pre-implantation diagnosis by recognition of individuals who are at an increased risk for producing offspring with a hereditary disorder or in carriers. Hence mothers with a positive family history of malformations and bad obstetric history should be screened antenatally as well as pre conceptionally for the early detection of possible malformations thereby, reducing the mortality rates.
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